Review Article

Vascular Disease in Diabetic Women: Why Do They Miss the Female Protection?
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1. The Basis of Diabetic Vascular Disease

Over the past decade type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) has gained widespread attention among scientists and physicians because it has reached epidemic proportions in developed countries. The rapidly increasing prevalence and incidence of T2DM worldwide is likely a consequence of change in lifestyle patterns that contribute to obesity, and has become one of the most serious and challenging health problems in the 21st century. Beside endocrinologists, cardiologists are also meeting most of these patients since cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) are principal cause of morbidity and mortality in patients with T2DM. The detrimental manifestations to the vasculature include endothelial dysfunction and vascular inflammation, which, in turn, contributes to the high incidence of hypertension and atherosclerosis in those patients [1, 2].

The major metabolic derangement during T2DM, that is, hyperglycemia, insulin resistance, and fatty acid liberation, has been considered the three pillars for diabetic vascular disease (Figure 1), as they evoke a myriad of molecular mechanisms that alter the structure and function of the vascular wall [1, 2]. These alterations include decrease of nitric oxide availability, increased oxidative stress, activation of the inflammation cascade, and of receptors for advanced glycation products (RAGE).

Initial studies on the pathophysiology of diabetic vascular disease have mostly associated vascular damage to hyperglycemia. In both clinical and experimental studies, hyperglycemia has been shown to enhance oxidative stress, to impair NO-mediated vasodilatation, and to initiate an inflammatory profile [1, 2]. The role of high glucose levels to vascular damage is supported by the observation that glycemia restoration with insulin is capable to restore vascular reactivity of diabetic subjects [3–5]. Hyperglycemia may initiate vascular dysfunction by directly activating mitochondrial electron transport and increasing superoxide production. Moreover, hyperglycemia has been described to directly impair NO/superoxide systems via an increase of asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA), a competitive antagonist of NO synthase [1, 2]. Further long-term hyperglycemia contributes to vascular disease through the intracellular and extracellular formation of advanced glycation end products (AGEs), a group of molecules (proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids) that are irreversibly cross-linked with reducing sugars. AGEs are involved in the process of vascular dysfunction directly
Figure 1: The three pillars of metabolic abnormalities that characterize diabetes and the molecular mechanism that can lead to diabetic vascular disease.

or via receptor-mediated mechanisms [6]. The interaction of AGEs with its receptors (RAGE) triggers a variety of cellular signaling that mediate gene expression and enhances the release of proinflammatory molecules and oxidative stress [7]. RAGE activation results in the translocation of proinflammatory kinases and transcription factors including extracellular signal-related (ERK) and c-Jun N-terminal (JNK) mitogenactivated protein (MAP) kinases, and the proinflammatory transcription factor nuclear Factor-kB (NF-κB) [7, 8]. Activation of these molecules has been tightly linked to the upregulation of inflammatory markers, including tumor necrosis factor (TNFα), and interleukins (IL-6), and adhesion molecules (such as VCAM-1 and ICAM-1), and to activation of prooxidative pathways [9].

Despite the undeniable role of hyperglycemia to the diabetic vascular disease, it is important highlight that individuals with T2DM may display signs of endothelial dysfunction and vascular inflammation even before the development of clinical manifestations of hyperglycemia [10]. This theory is supported by studies that demonstrated abnormal vascular reactivity of nondiabetic siblings and children of patients with T2DM [11]. Although they were nondiabetic, those who exhibited alterations of the vascular response had some degree of insulin resistance. In physiological conditions, insulin promotes endothelium-dependent relaxation, by a mechanism that involves increase of NO production via activation of phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase (PI3 K) and Akt kinase pathways [12, 13]. In insulin-resistant individuals, however, endothelium-dependent relaxation and NO production by insulin are reduced or even null [14–16]. When insulin signal transduction is impaired and insulin is less able to activate NO via PI3 K/Akt pathways, there is a deviation from this pathway to activate the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway [17]. The MAPK pathway is well known for its proliferative actions in the smooth muscle cells. Also, MAPK activation is associated with increased endothelin-1 production and at greater extent to activation of inflammation [18, 19]. These observations have many implications that correlate insulin signaling with vascular dysfunction in T2DM, as a consequence of malfunctioning of insulin signaling pathways. In this regard, in a state of insulin resistance, insulin itself may contribute to accelerated vascular damage as it may display proatherogenic and prohypertensive potentials.

Circulating levels of free fatty acids have also been gaining special protagonism in the pathophysiology of diabetic vascular disease, not only because of their excessive liberation from adipose tissue but also because obesity has been tightly linked to insulin resistance and T2DM [20]. Increased body fat, as seen in T2DM and insulin resistance, causes increased lipolysis and increased circulating concentrations of nonesterified fatty acids, as well as other components that are key mediators of vascular dysfunction, including angiotensinogen, adiponectin, IL-6, prostaglandins, and TNFα [21, 22]. Emerging evidences have established that adiponectin, an adipocyte-derived protein, plays a key role in many metabolic derangements, including type 2 diabetes, through its involvement in glucose regulation and fatty acid catabolism. Longitudinal and cross-sectional studies have shown that adiponectin concentration negatively correlates with the development of insulin resistance and predict the progression of type 2 diabetes and are associated with a variety of human metabolic and cardiovascular disease states, including obesity, essential hypertension, and coronary artery diseases [23]. In addition, in vitro and in vivo studies have shown that exogenous administration of free fatty acids can alter the function of endothelial cells so as to create a profile which promotes vasoconstriction and vascular inflammation [22, 24, 25]. Recently, a translational study has elegantly demonstrated that endothelial cells grown in the presence of visceral secretomes from obese and insulin-resistant patients display increased proliferation, altered morphology, and augmented expression of adhesion molecules (VCAM-1 and ICAM-1), and higher reactivity towards circulating platelets [26]. These changes occurred through a mechanism that involves NF-κB activation, largely described in the literature as major mediator of vascular damage in T2DM [9].
2. Gender and Risk Factors for Cardiovascular Disease

Experimental and clinical studies support the hypothesis that men are hemodynamically older than age-matched, premenopausal women [27–29]. According to two major longitudinal studies—the Framingham Heart Study [30] and the INTERHEART [31, 32]—the overall median age for evident CVD is about 10 years lower in men than in their female counterparts, in all regions of the world. Also in animal models, the progression of CVD occurs at an earlier age and becomes more severe in males compared to age-matched females [33–35]. The female protection might be a consequence of women that have been exposed to lower and less severe risk factors for CVD. In fact, gender-associated differences have been noted in the pathophysiology of most major risk factors, including hypertension and atherosclerosis.

High blood pressure is a global health concern reaching the number of more than one billion diagnosed patients worldwide [36]. Isolated hypertension, defined as a systolic blood pressure ≥160 mmHg and a diastolic blood pressure <90 mmHg, is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease, stroke, and all-cause mortality both in men and women independent of other risk factors [37]. Ambulatory monitoring of blood pressure have shown a sexual dimorphism in the incidence of high blood pressure that becomes apparent prematurely during adolescence and persists throughout adulthood [38]. Moreover, several cross-sectional studies have described that, up to middle age, men had a higher prevalence of hypertension than women regardless of race and ethnicity [39–41]. After the age of 65, however, women have higher prevalence of hypertension across all racial and ethnic groups [39–41]. The INTERHEART study has described a greater risk for CVD associated with hypertension in women than in men partially explained by a higher prevalence of hypertension in women who were about a decade older than hypertensive men [32]. The protective effects of female gender seen in humans have also been observed in various animal models for cardiovascular disease, such as spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHRs) and DOCA-salt hypertensive rats [42]. In these animals, males develop an earlier and more severe cardiovascular disease than females.

Besides hypertension, gender-associated differences in the incidence and progress of atherosclerotic plaque have also been proposed. Atherosclerosis is the leading cause of heart attack, and despite its high incidence and severity, there is still a concerning lack of studies addressing the incidence and risks of atherosclerosis in women. A recent observation at autopsy of patients who died from acute coronary disease has described a “gender gap” on vascular observation at autopsy of patients who died from acute incidence and risks of atherosclerosis in women. A recent study found DM mortality in women with DM to be 8.7 times higher in women and men. In fact, the Nurses’ Health Study found CVD mortality in women with DM to be 8.7 times higher than in nondiabetic female patients [54]. The INTERHEART study of risk factors for CVD identified diabetes mellitus as one of the greatest risk factor for women, as diabetic women had a threefold to fourfold increased risk of developing CVD compared to men [32], and a recent meta-analysis of 37 studies consisting of almost 450,000 patients with type 2 diabetes found that women have a twofold increased risk of fatal coronary heart disease, whereas men have a twofold increased risk [55]. The variance in the phenomenon...
remains to be elucidated and contrary to other risk factors for CVD, the gender-associated differences in experimental model of diabetes do not reflect what is seen in humans [56].

Most pathophysiological studies on T2DM have been performed in rodents and in the majority of experimental models males are more susceptible to develop T2DM and are more vulnerable to its vascular complications than are females [56]. In general, diabetic male are found to have worse endothelial-dependent relaxation, augmented vasoconstrictor responses, and higher blood pressure levels than do females [15, 57, 58]. Just few studies have shown that T2DM impairs endothelial responses in female to a greater extent than in males [59]. These discrepant data may be a consequence of distinct etiopathology for T2DM in each model. In spontaneous or diet-induced diabetes, some models exhibit a predominant insulin resistance, while in others glucose, intolerance is a part of a wider phenotype of adiposity [60]. Other models have been generated from genetic manipulations for the ablation of the genes involved in insulin pathway [61]. Although the existing models offer many opportunities to investigate the complex mechanisms of T2DM-associated vascular disease, no individual animal model replicates in all details the progression of human T2DM. Besides, variations in the hormonal regulation that are characteristic of each species can lead to confounding and misleading outcomes, since several sex-associated differences in the control of vascular function are partially sustained by sexual hormones [33, 62–65].

3. Sex Hormones and the Pillars for Diabetic Vascular Disease

The differences in T2DM burden in men and women could be explained by the differences in socioeconomic status between the two genders. As women tend to have lower economical status than men they could be at greater risk of developing T2DM as well as to T2DM-associated complications to have lower access to treatments for glucose control and to prevent vascular dysfunction. In fact, as per the World Health Organization (WHO), the estimate prevalence of diabetes and other abnormalities of glucose metabolism is consistent across income grouping worldwide, although these differences do not vary among sexes [66]. From a physiological standpoint, epidemiological observations and extensive basic laboratory research have shown that female sex hormones, and more specifically estrogen, have direct beneficial effects in the cardiovascular system [64, 65, 67–69]. Estrogen has been described to display a myriad of metabolic, hemodynamic, and vascular effects, which have been largely associated to cardiovascular protection in females. For example, estrogen can promote cardiovascular protection by indirectly influence on the metabolism of lipoproteins or directly by acting on the modulation of molecular pathways in the vessel wall [70]. Receptors for estrogen have been identified biochemically and show a plentiful expression in both vascular smooth muscle and endothelium, reinforcing the idea that estrogen plays a key role in the control of vascular function [71, 72].

Other studies have described that estrogen has direct beneficial effects in the control of blood pressure [65, 67, 69, 73] and decrease of atheroma formation [74–77]. Although the mechanisms underlying the protective effects of estrogen in the vasculature are not well established, a direct regulation of endothelial-mediated control of arteriolar tone and during different stages of development of atherosclerosis has been proposed [42, 70]. Estrogen is known to increase NO bioavailability by mechanisms that involve either increase of NO generation directly [78] or by decreasing O2− concentration, and thereby attenuating O2−-mediated inactivation of NO [42, 68]. In addition to NO, estrogen has been described to positively up regulate the production of endothelium-derived relaxing factors (EDRFs), such as PGI2 [79, 80] and the endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factors (EDHFs) [81], both of which are important mediators of vascular relaxation in resistance-sized arteries. Concomitantly, a modulating role of estrogen on constrictor factors (EDCFs) is observed. Studies have shown that the beneficial effects of estrogen on the endothelium can be partially explained by an inhibitory effect on the production or action of the COX-derived vasoconstrictor agents (PGH2 and TXA2) [65, 82, 83] and endothelin-1 (ET-1) [84]. Estrogen has also been described to suppresses vascular inflammation by downregulation of proinflammatory molecules including cytokines and adhesion molecules [85–90].

When considering the modulation of the metabolic changes that build the pillars for diabetic vascular disease, estrogen is a major effector for the regulation of energy balance, fat distribution, and insulin sensitivity [91]. Postmenopausal women and ovariectomized females experience an increase in fat mass and insulin resistance, which can be reversed by estrogen [91]. In this regard, a protective response by estrogen should be expected in T2DM women. In fact, a variety of studies in animals models have confirmed the protective effects of estrogen against diabetes [92], and one of the most renowned trial on women’s health and hormone replacement therapy—the Women’s Health Initiative (WHI)—has shown positive correlation between daily estrogen treatment over placebo on different parameters of diabetes, including blood glucose, insulin, calculated insulin resistance, and the self-reported incidence of diabetes. Results over more than 5 years of followup revealed that therapy with estrogen reduces the incidence of diabetes, possibly mediated by mechanism that involves decrease in insulin resistance [93, 94]. Nonetheless, data from the same WHI study have questioned the value of estrogen replacement therapy in protecting vascular function [95]. The WHI trial did not find any cardiovascular benefit from estrogen in postmenopausal women and, in fact, showed hormone replacement therapy could be associated with increased risk to the cardiovascular system [95]. Further analysis by subgroups in those clinical trials has established that estrogen replacement therapy in diabetic postmenopausal women results in a seemingly detrimental effect on the cardiovascular system [96, 97]. With these striking results, the question arises as how and why estrogen responses are modified by diabetes state in women.
Figure 2: Hypothesis for detrimental estrogen responses in the diabetic vasculature: Type 2 diabetes mellitus-(T2DM-) related changes in the vessel wall include decrease of nitric oxide (NO) and concomitant increase of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and endothelin-1 (ET-1) production; as well as increased activation of signaling pathways of Nuclear Factor-xB (NF-xB); mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) and receptors for advanced glycation products (RAGE). In a healthy vasculature (a), with favorable balance of estrogen receptors (ER), estrogen beneficially acts to modulate these factors and to maintain homeostasis. Nevertheless, T2DM adversely modify the balance in expression and/or activity of ERs in a manner that the effects of estrogen are negatively modulated to enhance the existing damage in vascular function (b).

4. Why Are Diabetic Females Not Protected?

Initial hypotheses relied on the hormonal dysfunction resulting from diabetic state to explain why women with diabetes lose their vascular protection [59, 98]. Others have associated increased risk for diabetes and associated vascular disease to estrogen deficiency after menopause, as the decline in estrogens levels often leads to dysregulation of metabolism [99]. Nonetheless, the use of estrogen replacement therapy has failed to decrease CVD risk in diabetic women, despite their improved metabolic outcomes [96, 97].

There is much controversy over the interpretation of the clinical trials on estrogen replacement therapy, and among the concerns raised is the fact that the majority of clinical trial on hormone replacement therapy, which studied a population of women that was estrogen deficient for, on average, 10 years before hormone replacement was initiated, and they may exhibit some degree of subclinical vascular dysfunction. These observations, together with observational studies, have led scientists to create the so-called timing hypothesis. This theory states that estrogen-mediated benefits to prevent cardiovascular disease only occur when treatment is initiated before the detrimental effects of long-term estrogen withdrawn or subclinical vascular damage are established on vascular wall [100].

Currently, it is not known how the vascular effects of estrogen may be influenced by distinct pathophysiological conditions, including aging or diabetes, but recent consensus have established a relationship between changes on balance of estrogen receptors (ERα and ERβ) with dichotomous effects by estrogen (Figure 2). The differences in signaling through ERα and ERβ are increasingly becoming apparent, and, in fact, previous experimental studies have established that increased expression or activation of ERβ over ERα
is associated with higher oxidative stress, proinflammatory profile and increased atherosclerotic plaque formation [101–104]. In animal model of diabetes, the anti-inflammatory activity of estrogen is impaired in vascular smooth muscle cells which display ERβ overexpression with respect to normoglycemic controls [105, 106]. Results from studies using knockout mice for ERs have shed much light into their specific role to metabolic homeostasis and vascular function. While intact ERs knockout mice are diabeticogenic and obese with severe insulin resistance, ovariectomized mice display a normal homeostasis of circulating glucose and insulin levels and reverses the obese phenotype, suggesting that estrogen may act on ERβ to result in a diabetogenic and adipogenic phenotype [107]. Furthermore, the use of ERβ-selective agonists has shown to be diabeticogenic and to display a proinflammatory profile in diabetic animals [106, 108]. Despite those evidences, the field lacks detailed research as to how ERα and ERβ affect the course and timeline of diabetic vascular disease. It remains unclear to what extent the protective effects of estrogen replacement well described in young health females can be extrapolated to older and diabetic ones. The mechanism for diabetic vascular disease in women issue still needs to be addressed in both experimental and clinical studies in order to establish different strategies to prevent delay or attenuate the vascular detriment induced by diabetes.

5. Conclusions

This review calls attention to the lack in knowledge, understanding, and general awareness of medical and scientific societies on how to treat and prevent diabetic vascular disease in women. Despite the evident gender-associated differences in the pathophysiology of CVD and the higher incidence of vascular disease in diabetic women, the trends and guidelines are dominated by data obtained in men. The lack of crucial information from clinical trials and the discrepancies between the data available on the regulation of the cardiovascular system of women often leads to inappropriate diagnosis and specific treatment of this patient group, and, therefore, women are still not benefiting equally from effective risk-prevention strategies against CVD. Much research is still needed to ascertain and incorporate the gender-specific risks into the clinic to optimize diagnosis, treatment, and earlier prevention of CVD in women.
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